

DFTT 61/93
October 1993

**SHADOWING IN DEUTERIUM
AND THE SMALL- x LIMIT
OF F_2^n/F_2^p AND $F_2^p - F_2^n$**

V. Barone^a, M. Genovese^a, N.N. Nikolaev^{b,c},
E. Predazzi^a and B.G. Zakharov^b

^a*Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino
and INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy*

^b*L. D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics,
GSP-1, 117940, Moscow V-334, Russia*

^c*IKP (Theorie), KFA Jülich, 5170 Jülich, Germany*

Abstract

We discuss the updated NMC determination of F_2^n/F_2^p and $F_2^p - F_2^n$. Shadowing effects in deuterium make the structure functions determined by the NMC sensibly different from the true ones in the low- x region. We show that the departure of F_2^n/F_2^p and $F_2^p - F_2^n$ from the Regge expectations at small x observed by the NMC likely disappears if one takes into account the shadowing corrections.

The New Muon Collaboration has recently presented [1] a re-evaluation of the ratio $F_{n/p} \equiv F_2^n/F_2^p$ and of the difference $F_{p-n} \equiv F_2^p - F_2^n$, which leads to a new value of the Gottfried sum $S_G = \int dx (F_2^p - F_2^n)/x$, slightly larger than the result previously published [2].

The novel finding we shall focus on is the small- x behavior of $F_{n/p}$, which appears now to be definitely smaller than unity at the lowest x -bin. The occurrence of this fact was anticipated or implicitly expected [3, 4, 5, 6] as a possible evidence of shadowing in deuterium. The purpose of the present paper is to endorse quantitatively such a prediction, showing how the NMC results should be corrected in order to account for nuclear effects in deuterium. Our results will be obtained in the framework of the shadowing model of Ref. [6, 3].

Since the NMC results are the output of a rather complicated procedure, which starts from the direct measurements of the structure functions and involves fits of the data from other experiments and some simplifying assumptions, it is useful to summarize the method used by the NMC [1, 2, 8] to derive $F_{n/p}$ and F_{p-n} .

The cross sections for deep inelastic scattering off deuterium (D) and hydrogen are simultaneously measured and the ratio $F_{n/p}$ is then determined neglecting shadowing in deuterium

$$F_{n/p}^{NMC} = \frac{2F_2^D}{F_2^p} - 1. \quad (1)$$

Here F_2^D is the deuterium structure function per nucleon and the superscript NMC will denote hereafter the quantities obtained under the assumption of no shadowing in deuterium (which is the strongest assumption made by the NMC in its data analysis).

In order to apply the radiative corrections to $F_{n/p}$, the knowledge of both F_2^p and F_2^D is required. The latter is obtained from a fit to the available data (including those from other experiments), while F_2^p is derived from the F_2^D fit and the measured ratio $F_{n/p}$, inverting eq. (1). The procedure is iterated for few steps until the change in $F_{n/p}$ becomes reasonably negligible.

Once $F_{n/p}$ is determined, along the lines illustrated above, the non-singlet structure function F_{p-n} is obtained as

$$F_{p-n}^{NMC} = \frac{1 - F_{n/p}^{NMC}}{1 + F_{n/p}^{NMC}} 2F_2^D, \quad (2)$$

where F_2^D is again given by a global parametrization.

The difference between the most recent NMC determination of $F_{n/p}$ and the previous one [8] resides in the F_2^D fit which has been updated and gives now larger values of F_2^D at low x . The latest NMC results for $F_{n/p}$ and F_{p-n} at $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$ are displayed in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively, and show that, at small x , $F_{n/p}$ tends to a value definitely smaller than unity.

In the limit $x \rightarrow 0$ the structure functions are expected to exhibit the Regge behavior [9]. In particular, the sea parton densities are dominated by the pomeron pole, which is isospin and flavor independent. Thus

$$F_{n/p} \rightarrow 1, \quad F_{p-n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

Independently of the Regge behavior, the same limiting values would be obtained by assuming both the flavor $SU(2)_f$ and the isospin $SU(2)_I$ symmetries to be valid. We recall that the experimental result for the Gottfried sum S_G [1, 2], much smaller than the naive expectation $1/3$ seems to indicate that, at least at intermediate x , either $SU(2)_f$ and/or $SU(2)_I$ are broken (see discussion and references in [10]).

Notice that the expectations above are valid for the *true* structure functions.

If the measured ratio $F_{n/p}$ is different from unity at some small x value (as it was found by the NMC), one can conceive two explanations: *i*) the pomeron-dominated region has not been reached and either $SU(2)_f$ and/or $SU(2)_I$ are violated at small x (for instance, by flavor- and/or isospin-dependent terms of the form $\sim x^\alpha$, with $-1 < \alpha < 0$) ; *ii*) due to shadowing in deuterium, the measured structure functions are *not* the true structure functions, and in this case the Regge behavior might still hold.

While it is not excluded a priori that the first possibility can contribute to the effect, the second one is to be investigated in order to evaluate how much the measured quantities should be corrected. Shadowing is undoubtedly at work in deuterium and, as a matter of fact, we shall see that it leads to sensible corrections to the experimental results, which point towards the asymptotic values (3). We also mention that pionic corrections have been shown [7] to be unable to explain the departure of the experimental data on $F_{n/p}$ and F_{p-n} from the conventional expectations at small x (pions affect the n/p ratio only in the valence region).

At this point, let us introduce the shadowing ratio

$$R_s(x) = \frac{2 F_2^D(x)}{F_2^n(x) + F_2^p(x)} \quad (4)$$

which was calculated in [6, 3] and is about 3% different from unity at $x = 7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$. In terms of R_s , the true (*i.e.* corrected) ratio $F_{n/p}^{true}$ becomes

$$F_{n/p}^{true} = \frac{2 F_2^D}{R_s F_2^p} - 1 = \frac{1}{R_s} (F_{n/p}^{NMC} - R_s + 1), \quad (5)$$

and is obviously larger than $F_{n/p}^{NMC}$.

In an analogous way, one finds for the true difference F_{n-p}^{true}

$$F_{p-n}^{true} = \frac{1 - F_{n/p}^{true}}{1 + F_{n/p}^{true}} \frac{2 F_2^D}{R_s} = \frac{2 R_s - (1 + F_{n/p}^{NMC})}{R_s (1 - F_{n/p}^{NMC})} F_{p-n}^{NMC}. \quad (6)$$

which is smaller than F_{p-n}^{NMC} .

In order to give a quantitative estimate of the difference between NMC and true quantities, we resort to the model calculations of Ref. [3] (for details we refer the reader

to that paper). It is possible to cast the results for $R_s(x, Q^2)$ obtained in [3] in the following form, valid in the range $1 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2 \leq Q^2 \leq 10 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$, $x \gtrsim 10^{-3}$

$$1 - R_s(x, Q^2) = A(Q^2) (1 - x/x_0)^{B(Q^2)}, \quad (7)$$

where $x_0 = 0.2$ and $A(Q^2) = 0.043 - 0.0049 \ln(Q^2/Q_0^2)$, $Q_0^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$; $B(Q^2) = 9.83 - 0.13 Q^2$.

The ratio $R_s(x, Q^2)$ is shown in Fig. 3 for three different values of Q^2 . At $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$, which is the value of the NMC results, the shadowing is a 3% effect at $x = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and rapidly falls down, vanishing at $x \simeq 0.1$. However its effect on $F_{n/p}$ and F_{p-n} is not negligible, even within the present experimental errors.

Inserting the ratio $R_s(x, Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2)$ in eqs. (5,6) and taking as input the NMC data we get for $F_{n/p}^{true}$ and F_{p-n}^{true} the results shown in Figs. 1 and 2. As one can see, the corrections due to shadowing are relatively important and raise (lower) the value of $F_{n/p}$ (F_{p-n}). The corrected n/p ratio tends to unity at the smallest x value, whereas the $p - n$ difference tends to zero. No apparent departure from the Regge behavior is thus detected after the corrections. To account for the theoretical uncertainties in the calculation of shadowing we varied $1 - R_s$ by $\pm 30\%$. The effect of this variation is given by the two dotted curves in Figs. 1 and 2 and does not fill the discrepancy between the measured and the corrected quantities.

It has been already pointed out [3, 4, 5, 11] that the shadowing corrections sensibly affect the *true* value of the Gottfried sum, which turns out to be lower than the one quoted by the NMC [1, 2]. In our model we find that S_G^{NMC} should be decreased, in the measured region $x > x_{min} = 0.004$, by $\delta S_G(x_{min}, 1) \simeq 0.03$.

In conclusion, we have evaluated the corrections to $F_{n/p}$ and F_{p-n} due to the presence of shadowing in deuterium. We stress that it is important to account for these corrections in the global parametrizations of the deep inelastic data which make use of the n/p ratio. We have also seen that, allowing for shadowing, there is at present no evidence for a violation of the conventional Regge expectations.

Acknowledgment. It is a pleasure to thank Stefano Forte for interesting discussions.

References

- [1] M. Arneodo *et al.* (NMC), CERN preprint CERN-PPE/93-117.
- [2] P. Amaudruz *et al.* (NMC), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** (1991) 560.
- [3] V. Barone, M. Genovese, N.N. Nikolaev, E. Predazzi and B.G. Zakharov, *Z. Phys.* **C58** (1993) 541.
- [4] V.R. Zoller, *Phys. Lett.* **B279** (1992) 145.
- [5] B. Badelek and J. Kwieciński, *Nucl. Phys.* **B370** (1992) 278.
- [6] N.N. Nikolaev and B.G. Zakharov, *Z. Phys.* **C49** (1991) 607; *Phys. Lett.* **B260** (1991) 414.
- [7] V.R. Zoller, *Z. Phys.* **C53** (1992) 443.
- [8] P. Amaudruz *et al.* (NMC), *Nucl. Phys.* **B371** (1992) 3.
- [9] See for instance, E. Leader and E. Predazzi, *An Introduction to Gauge Theories and the New Physics*, Cambridge University Press, 1982. R.G. Roberts, *The Structure of the Proton*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [10] S. Forte, *Phys. Rev.* **D47** (1993) 1842.
- [11] V. Barone, M. Genovese, N.N. Nikolaev, E. Predazzi and B.G. Zakharov, in PANIC 93, Proc. of the Particles and Nuclei International Conference (Perugia, Italy), World Scientific, in press.

Figure captions

Fig. 1 The structure function ratio F_2^n/F_2^p at small x and $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$. The squares are the NMC results [1], the circles are the central values of the data data corrected for shadowing. The dotted lines represent a $\pm 30\%$ variation of the amount of shadowing in deuterium (see text).

Fig. 2 Same as Fig. 1 but for the structure function difference $F_2^p - F_2^n$.

Fig. 3 The quantity $1 - R_s$ (see text) calculated in the model of Ref. [6, 3] for three different Q^2 values (dashed line: $1 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$; solid line: $4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$; dotted line: $10 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$).

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9310321v1>

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9310321v1>

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9310321v1>